

CITY OF TIGARD

2008 URBAN FORESTRY SURVEY

STEVE JOHNSON & ASSOCIATES * P. O. BOX 3708 * EUGENE, OREGON 97403

TOPLINE FREQUENCIES

***Topline results include the text of each question, the response categories, and the number and percent of responses in each category. All questions include categories for Refused (7 or 97), Don't Know (8 or 98) and No Answer (9 or 99). In the interest of space, responses such as "I don't know," "I can't think of anything," and "no comment" have been removed from the document. The "open answers" are recorded verbatim. They have been corrected for spelling but not grammar.*

HELLO1 Hello, I'm calling on behalf of the City of Tigard. They have asked us to conduct a survey of residents 18 and older about trees in the city and urban forestry. The survey takes about ten minutes and is voluntary and anonymous. I'd like to start now.

[INTERVIEWER NOTE: IF RESPONDENT SELF IDENTIFIES AS UNDER 18 ASK FOR SOMEONE OVER 18. IF NO ONE IS AVAILABLE TRY AND SCHEDULE CALL BACK. IF THIS IS THE LAST DIAL ATTEMPT GO TO NOQUAL]

PRESS START TO BEGIN – OR – PRESS DISPO TO SCHEDULE CALLBACK

*INTRO FOR PARTIALS: Hi, I'm calling back to finish an interview for the City of Tigard that we began earlier. Is that (you/person available)?

SATIS1 I'd like to begin by asking if you are very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with the quantity and quality of trees in the following locations. First, what about the trees on your street?

PROBE: Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the quantity and quality of trees on your street?

1 VERY SATISFIED	103	25.75%
2 SATISFIED	246	61.5%
3 DISSATISFIED	32	8%
4 VERY DISSATISFIED	10	2.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>9</u>	<u>2.25%</u>
	400	100%

SATIS2 What about the trees in your neighborhood?

PROBE: Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the quantity and quality of trees in your neighborhood?

1 VERY SATISFIED	104	26%
2 SATISFIED	242	60.5%
3 DISSATISFIED	43	10.75%
4 VERY DISSATISFIED	5	1.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>6</u>	<u>1.5%</u>
	400	100%

SATIS3 What about trees in the city as a whole?

PROBE: Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the quantity and quality of trees in the city as a whole?

1 VERY SATISFIED	61	15.25%
2 SATISFIED	251	62.75%
3 DISSATISFIED	59	14.75%
4 VERY DISSATISFIED	10	2.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>19</u>	<u>4.75%</u>
	400	100%

HOOD Does your neighborhood need more trees and landscaping to improve its appearance and environmental quality?

1 YES	101	25.25%
2 NO	294	73.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>5</u>	<u>1.25%</u>
	400	100%

IMPORT1 Now I would like to read you some statements people have made about trees. For each one, would you tell me if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree. First, trees are important to a community's character and desirability as a place to live.

PROBE: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

1 STRONGLY AGREE	249	62.25%
2 AGREE	138	34.5%
3 DISAGREE	10	2.5%
4 STRONGLY DISAGREE	1	0.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>2</u>	<u>0.5%</u>
	400	100%

IMPORT2 It is important to me to have a view of trees from my home.

PROBE: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

1 STRONGLY AGREE	218	54.5%
------------------	-----	-------

2 AGREE	148	37%
3 DISAGREE	28	7%
4 STRONGLY DISAGREE	4	1%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>2</u>	<u>0.5%</u>
	400	100%

IMPORT3 Trees contribute to the value of residential property.

PROBE: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

1 STRONGLY AGREE	200	50%
2 AGREE	170	42.5%
3 DISAGREE	19	4.75%
4 STRONGLY DISAGREE	3	0.75%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>8</u>	<u>2%</u>
	400	100%

IMPORT4 Trees contribute to the value of commercial property.

PROBE: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

1 STRONGLY AGREE	125	31.25%
2 AGREE	205	51.25%
3 DISAGREE	45	11.25%
4 STRONGLY DISAGREE	3	0.75%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>22</u>	<u>5.5%</u>
	400	100%

IMPORT5 More street trees would be good for the City.

PROBE: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

1 STRONGLY AGREE	97	24.25%
2 AGREE	202	50.5%
3 DISAGREE	62	15.5%
4 STRONGLY DISAGREE	9	2.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>30</u>	<u>7.5%</u>
	400	100%

IMPORT6 It would benefit the City if more resources could be directed to better maintain and protect existing trees.

PROBE: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

1 STRONGLY AGREE	102	25.5%
------------------	-----	-------

2 AGREE	203	50.75%
3 DISAGREE	50	12.5%
4 STRONGLY DISAGREE	10	2.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>35</u>	<u>8.75%</u>
	400	100%

IMPORT7 The City should require that some trees be preserved and new ones planted on sites that are being developed.

PROBE: Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

1 STRONGLY AGREE	160	40%
2 AGREE	193	48.25%
3 DISAGREE	30	7.5%
4 STRONGLY DISAGREE	9	2.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>8</u>	<u>2%</u>
	400	100%

FOREST1 All cities have an urban forest. The urban forest in Tigard consists of the trees in parks, along streets, in yards, on empty lots and in forested areas. Do you think the overall quality of Tigard's urban forest has increased, decreased or stayed the same in the last 10 years?

1 INCREASED	73	18.25%
2 DECREASED	166	41.5%
3 STAYED THE SAME	117	29.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>44</u>	<u>11%</u>
	400	100%

FOREST2 In the future, do you expect the overall quality of Tigard's urban forest to increase, decrease, or stay the same?

1 INCREASED	113	28.25%
2 DECREASED	126	31.5%
3 STAYED THE SAME	138	34.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>23</u>	<u>5.75%</u>
	400	100%

FOREST3 On a scale of 1-10, where one is poor and 10 is excellent, how would you rate the extent and appearance of trees in Tigard?

1 ONE	3	0.75%
2 TWO	0	0%
3 THREE	14	3.5%
4 FOUR	11	2.75%

5 FIVE	61	15.25%
6 SIX	48	12%
7 SEVEN	96	24%
8 EIGHT (GO TO TAX1)	119	29.75%
9 NINE (GO TO TAX1)	19	4.75%
10 TEN (GO TO TAX1)	24	6%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>5</u>	<u>1.25%</u>
	400	100%

FOREST4 What could be done to improve the appearance and quality of trees in Tigard?

OPEN ENDED – RECORD EXACT RESPONSE

- Not cut them all. They are cutting out more than they are putting in. They should require developers to keep some of the existing trees.
- Better maintenance.
- More variety.
- They need to plant more trees when they remove them. Do not just plant commercialized trees.
- Maintain the trees. Trimming them and things like that.
- Ask the people to clean up more. During the fall, clean up sidewalk areas like they should.
- More maintenance,
- I say plant more, just preserve the ones that are there.
- Certain areas. Save certain trees.
- Taken care of the trees.
- I don't have any good ideas. Don't cut down more big trees.
- Trimmed when it comes to wires, and in areas with no trees new ones could be planted. When they are doing commercial development they should plant trees when they are done building.
- In the vast expanses of parking lots there should be shade trees for the cars. It would help with gas so people don't have to use the AC. Shade trees help a lot.
- Public awareness.
- Developers not remove existing trees as much.
- One thing I don't like is the power company coming along and trimming them to look stupid.
- Better trees that don't tear up streets and utilities.
- Don't do anything. They'll grow by themselves. No sense in paying tax payers' money on trees that can take care of themselves.
- High quality maintenance.
- Let the trees get older.
- You know you do a good job. Keep up the good work.
- Add trees along Durham Road and downtown Main Street.
- More fir trees or pine green trees.
- Plant more, I guess.
- I think more of them. And better maintenance of the area around the trees.
- Plant more trees; take care of them.
- They don't have a nice setup in Tigard, lack of parks.

Maintenance

More maintenance from landowners and the city.

Better protection of the exciting trees in areas.

Keeping them clean, away from street signs and pruning them.

Quit cutting them down I think.

They could be taken care of.

Trimming.

Quit cutting them down.

They can be trimmed up so they can plant more trees.

Plant more trees.

Prevent cut down of existing ones, plant more trees.

They could put the areas back that used to be there, that are gone.

Plant more.

I think if they planted the proper trees so that the roots would not appear and break up the sidewalks. I think people either put them down and don't pull out the roots.

Ones left are well maintained, pick up leaves off sidewalks and streets for bikers.

To trim them.

Plant more street trees on Greenburg Road.

Not letting people cut them down.

Grow more.

There are places where there are a lot of trees and places where there are none, trees should be everywhere, especially where there are none. It would also be good to discuss the things people don't want to see, especially industrial areas. Trees should be used to shield them from their neighbors.

Streets be lined with trees.

Leave them alone.

Basic maintenance.

I think if there is some sort of a plan. When you build new housing areas and existing areas you should have a comprehensive plan about the comprehensive trees. Whether the city is going plant the trees or it is going to be left to individuals.

In some areas I think you need to have management people that know what is going on.

Placement of trees and people with knowledge of what is going on. It would be more beneficial to have more parks. Percentage of parks in a residential area.

Protection of some of the areas, like stream land from development.

Maintenance around power lines.

More trees. Nothing else.

Trees aren't taken care of well, trees in vacant lots should become less neglected.

Fertilize.

Find a way to keep away all the leaves.

Pruning and maintained health, be maintained better.

More volunteers to maintain them.

Plant more trees! Plant more quality trees.

I think that we need to keep the landscaping up. We need to maintain our trees. If we have more trees we will have a better community.

Put them in strategic locations like downtown. They should put a ton of trees downtown.
They want to improve downtown they should put in good trees. Don't put them there for no reason.

Just so much building going on more regulations about what trees need to remain.
Probably the amount.

There could be more of them on major highways. Highway 99 has none on that road.
Plant more trees.
More placed in better locations, not be so messy.
Add more trees, keep the exciting trees.
Better pruning with trees along the streets a lot that have grown big and unruly.
Better maintenance. I think that some of the street trees get in the way.
Probably just more attention to them. The property owners need to pay more attention to their trees probably. If we are going to have trees, they need to be maintained.
Not be willing to cut so many when they are developing.
Don't know, maintain them.
Get the city counsel in the city forest, they should be running the city not the trees.
Maintain damage is done.
Leave them standing, pruning assisting their health.
Maintain what they have and not let the new buildings do away with the trees. Plant new ones after they have built homes or buildings.
Plant more and not chop down forest to put up condos.
I wish people would take care of trees better.
They could have more trees where there are no trees.
More street trees.
Don't think anything should be done.
Trim them.
Highway 99 at the bridge. Just be conscientious.
Plant more trees, when you remove trees, plant trees where the space is available. It should be a law to plant trees.
Provide good maintenance.
Downtown area needs more trees.
Old trees be cut down, plant new ones.
Preserve during development.
Better overall maintenance.
Better maintained.
Pick up more leaves.
I don't have a problem with it, so nothing.
Need more trees in old town.
Cut them all down, too many large trees, they are blocking the view of everything. They need to at least be trimmed.
Developer should put trees of appropriate size for the lot.
A little bit better maintained by people that take care of the trees.
More of them along the main streets.
They could be preserved. Planting the right trees. And more of them.
Trimming and landscaping around trees.
Like the downtown, they made it look all cutesie.

Plant more, let more streets be planted next to trees. Less shopping malls, have an area of trees planted, 99 west. They put ugly storage unit, they cut down beautiful trees for that.

Improve the city council decisions.

Pruning.

A little bit of pruning.

There could be improvements on highway 99 and on commercial properties. I see a lot of death that needs to be maintained a little bit better. More trees on busier streets.

Plant more of them, take care of them, and cut their branches and everything.

First of all plant more trees if there is the space.

Largely, plant new ones and stop cutting down the old ones.

Probably more aggressive street tree planting program. Out reach to property owners that have trees and preserve them.

Most of the trees are on private property. As to the ones that are on public domain, they should be maintained professionally with an eye towards long term growth.

I like where homes don't go right to the creek and there is green spaces along creeks.

Maybe more trimming on trees.

Plant more.

Expert looking at the issue.

Old ones let go. Cleaned up.

By preserving existing trees.

Better maintenance.

Leave them alone.

Remove many of them. Public works departments are not funded to protect neighborhoods as a result of leaf fall. There is not enough street sweeping services.

Downtown could plant trees.

Lining the streets and putting them in parks, but I think they're doing that right now. Where I live there are many trees in the community.

More trees, as far as the existing trees, I'm not sure what to say about their quality and appearance.

Proper maintenance of the trees and removal of the dead or improper growth.

Plant more, rip up cement and plant trees.

In certain neighborhoods there could just be more of them. And more yard debris pick-up, so that people are not afraid to have trees. Anything that would make having a tree easier would be good.

I would like to see their messes cleaned up quicker.

If they had left the old trees to live, it would have been better. They put up some new dinky trees. And they just don't look as good. It's too late.

Maybe better maintained and kept trees.

Maintain existing trees.

Plant more. City to replace trees that are deceased or need to be replaced.

Cut down dying trees, take care of trees next to main roads.

Stop cutting them down. When a large tree is cut down, requires two of three tree in their place.

Adding variety.

More of them in public areas. In downtown Tigard.

I think they need to plant more trees along streets and in newly developed areas.

Add some along 99.

Better trimming and maintenance.

Maybe more appropriate trees in the area they're going to be planted. I guess I'm thinking about some trees are planted too close to the street, and that causes problems with leaves in the sewer and sidewalks heaving from the roots.

Maintenance

Maintenance and replanting with trees that die.

Just encourage more people to plant proper trees and take care of the ones they have. And not cut them down unnecessarily.

Pruning.

In the greenway, we have lots of English ivy that is destroying our trees. Dead trees.

Not cutting down massive amounts when they build new areas. Plant more trees along the parks.

I don't know what could be done to make them better. I noticed when new development is going in where there is a forestry area and they take out the trees and I don't like that. I don't like the ripping up of the stuff along Vano Creek.

Stop chopping down trees.

More maintenance and planting more trees.

Plant more decorative trees. Some of the ones that flower in the spring. More evergreens. The big scrub maples, big yellow leaves. Replace stuff with more colors for spring and fall. More red maples.

Planting more trees in the downtown Tigard area and taking care of trees that are at the end of their life. Taking down and replacing trees that are dying.

They're in pretty good shape.

Maintain the one we have, and plant more.

Keep them trimmed away from the important stuff.

Replace trees as they are taken out.

Medians planted with trees. Uniform tree type on various streets so that it isn't so ragged looking.

Better up keep.

Get rid of the old ones that are dying. Just clean up.

Plant more. Help maintain the huge fir trees.

I think that the city needs to be a little more proactive in trimming them so things can be seen. So that people who are unfamiliar with the area can see the street signs. It's a huge sign. If people are elderly then they can't trim them themselves. Need to be more proactive.

I really don't know if I like a tree in front of my house, I wouldn't plant it but I think trees are important.

Stop cutting down all the trees on all developments.

Keep them trimmed up a little bit nicer and leaves in the fall are a big problem, they make a mess.

Nothing I think they are fine.

Take down the trees that drop leaves.

I'm not sure we need more trees.

I don't really know, stop cutting down all the trees, build where they do not have to remove trees.

Just prune and thin out the trees. Increase the health of trees.

More open green spaces and more trees in commercial areas.

Plant more trees.

Better maintaining by replanting. More planting.

Plant more.

I'm thinking of the one on the corner of my lot, it has pruning problems due to the power lines. It really distorts the shape of the tree.

Stop building houses.

Cutting them back and some pruning them.

More planting.

Do not cut down anymore than they absolutely have to.

I think maybe stronger education on how to take care of trees.

More development of downtown, Tigard with lots of trees and landscaping.

Better management by the city and government.

When developing, keep more trees that are already existing. Or replanting trees that have been taken down to build a new house.

Regular maintenance.

I think there should be more, plant more.

I feel that every time they cut one down they put new ones in. They've stopped doing that. They don't replace anything, it looks like a concrete forest.

I think more of the visual stuff and getting the community more involved, too many businesses.

I think they are okay.

I don't have an opinion on it.

Planting to include green space and park settings, Bull Mountain is an example of how not to do it.

More trees. Better upkeep.

Not cut them down.

I would think that they could be better shaped, and trimmed when needed. I fit the location where they fit size wise.

Leave the consumer alone. They have their own trees, so let them do what they want.

Some of them need to be shaped better. The ones on the road.

I don't know, just make sure they're maintained and plant new trees as ones die or become available.

They are properly cared for and planted more of them.

Better maintenance.

Better care and clean up.

Variety and maintenance.

I would presume plant more.

We're going to suggest the city does a better job of maintaining them. To improve our park, we're on Woodard park, it would improve the park if they would thin the trees that are diseased and prune them, or remove them.

Quit cutting them down for new developments.

Planting more trees.

Just constant vigilance.
 More and just more.
 Plant trees where there are no trees. Where I live there are lots of trees.
 Leave them alone.
 Better maintenance.
 Plant more.

TAX1 Currently, property owners are responsible for maintaining street trees in front of their property. Would you strongly support, support, oppose, or strongly oppose a program that transfers the responsibility for maintaining street trees to the City?

1 STRONGLY SUPPORT	65	16.25%
2 SUPPORT	128	32%
3 OPPOSE	136	34%
4 STRONGLY OPPOSE	38	9.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>33</u>	<u>8.25%</u>
	400	100%

TAX2 Would you strongly support, support, oppose, or strongly oppose additional funding from increased city fees, charges, or property taxes to fund a City street tree program?

1 STRONGLY SUPPORT	25	6.25%
2 SUPPORT	151	37.75%
3 OPPOSE	132	33%
4 STRONGLY OPPOSE	63	15.75%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>29</u>	<u>7.25%</u>
	400	100%

TAX3 Would you strongly support, support, oppose, or strongly oppose additional funding from increased city fees, charges, or property taxes to fund a more comprehensive tree planting and maintenance program in Tigard parks and open spaces?

PROBE: This would include trees throughout Tigard, not just on streets.

1 STRONGLY SUPPORT	32	8%
2 SUPPORT	190	47.5%
3 OPPOSE	104	26%
4 STRONGLY OPPOSE	53	13.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>21</u>	<u>5.25%</u>
	400	100%

TAX4 Would you prefer volunteering to plant and maintain trees or paying a fee to the City to do this?

PROBE: Even if you are not a property owner, which would you prefer?

1 PLANT	208	52%
2 PAY	106	26.5%
3 IF VOL – NEITHER	61	15.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>25</u>	<u>6.25%</u>
	400	100%

CHOICE1 Which of the following would be your first choice of where the city should plant more trees?

(PROBE FROM LIST)

1 ALONG STREETS	99	24.75%
2 IN PEOPLE'S YARDS	10	2.5%
3 IN COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL AREAS	51	12.75%
4 IN PARKS	79	19.75%
5 NEAR STREAMS/NATURAL FORESTED AREAS	129	32.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>32</u>	<u>8%</u>
	400	100%

CHOICE2 Which of the following statements most closely represents your opinion about trees.

1 PRESERVE AS MANY TREES AS POSSIBLE	128	32%
2 WHEN TREES ARE REMOVED, REPLACE THEM	129	32.25%
3 PRESERVE LARGE OR UNIQUE TREES	60	15%
4 ALLOW INDIVIDUALS REMOVE TREES IF WISH	71	17.75%
5 IF VOL – NONE OF THESE STATEMENTS	1	0.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>11</u>	<u>2.75%</u>
	400	100%

HAZARD Currently, if there is a dispute between neighboring property owners regarding a potentially hazardous tree, the City does not get involved, and instead directs the neighbors to work out a solution through civil means. Would you strongly support, support, oppose, or strongly oppose the creation of a program where the City would become involved in disputes between neighbors regarding hazardous trees?

1 STRONGLY SUPPORT	54	13.5%
2 SUPPORT	185	46.25%
3 OPPOSE	101	25.25%
4 STRONGLY OPPOSE	49	12.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>11</u>	<u>2.75%</u>
	400	100%

REG1 Would you strongly support, support, oppose, or strongly oppose tree removal regulations during property development, even when they limit the size and extent of potential buildings or profits?

1 STRONGLY SUPPORT	59	14.75%
2 SUPPORT	168	42%
3 OPPOSE	99	24.75%
4 STRONGLY OPPOSE	32	8%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>42</u>	<u>10.5%</u>
	400	100%

REG2 If you had the opportunity to develop your property, would you be in favor of city tree regulations that required preservation of existing large trees and landscaping or tree planting afterwards?

1 YES	264	66%
2 NO	97	24.25%
3 IF VOL – IT DEPENDS	14	3.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>25</u>	<u>6.25%</u>
	400	100%

REG3 Should the City allow the decision to preserve trees to be left to the developer?

1 YES	80	20%
2 NO	293	73.25%
3 IF VOL – IT DEPENDS	17	4.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>10</u>	<u>2.5%</u>
	400	100%

REG4 If the City were to enact new tree protection measures, would you like to see them focused on natural areas, ornamental landscape trees, both types equally, or on something else.

1 NATURAL AREAS	149	37.25%
2 ORNAMENTAL TREES	11	2.75%
3 BOTH	192	48%
4 SOMETHING ELSE	25	6.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>23</u>	<u>5.75%</u>
	400	100%

REG5 Would you strongly support, support, oppose, or strongly oppose city regulations that would provide some level of protection for large, healthy trees on developed private property?

PROBE: This would apply to all current private property.

1 STRONGLY SUPPORT	78	19.5%
--------------------	----	-------

2 SUPPORT	224	56%
3 OPPOSE	60	15%
4 STRONGLY OPPOSE	20	5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>18</u>	<u>4.5%</u>
	400	100%

REG6 If the city were to enact new tree protection measures, where would you prefer to see them focused: on larger groves of native trees or individual trees of significant size.

1 LARGE GROVES	221	55.25%
2 INDIVIDUAL TREES	113	28.25%
3 IF VOL – BOTH	31	7.75%
4 IF VOL – NEITHER	18	4.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>17</u>	<u>4.25%</u>
	400	100%

AGE In what year were you born?

Coded Categories:

AGE 18-24	3	0.75%
AGE 25-34	23	5.75%
AGE 35-44	59	14.75%
AGE 45-54	106	26.5%
AGE 55-64	91	22.75%
AGE 65 AND OLDER	118	29.5%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>0</u>	<u>0%</u>
	400	100%

GENDER Are you male or female?

1 MALE	160	40%
2 FEMALE	240	60%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>0</u>	<u>0%</u>
	400	100%

RENT Do you own your home, or do you rent?

1 OWN	344	86%
2 RENT	49	12.25%
7 REF/ 8 DK/ 9 NA	<u>7</u>	<u>1.75%</u>
	400	100%

STREET What neighborhood do you live in?

PROBE: What is your closest elementary school?

PROBE: What is your closest cross street?

OPEN ENDED – RECORD EXACT RESPONSE

END That's the end of the survey! On behalf of the City of Tigard, we would like to thank you for your time and participation. Have a great day. Good bye.

NOQAL I'm sorry, we can only interview residents of (who are 18 years of age or older). I'm sorry to have bothered you. Have a nice (day/evening).